

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

RAYMOND MOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

KARLA KELLER and  
SUZAN MORRISON,

Defendants.

---

CV 05-1894-HU  
ORDER

REDDEN, Judge:

On November 1, 2006, Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel filed his Findings and Recommendation (doc. 32). The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174-75 (9th Cir. 1996). Having reviewed the legal principles de

novo, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 32). Defendants' Second Motion to Dismiss (doc. 25) is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (doc. 24) is dismissed with prejudice. Further, Defendants' request for attorney's fees and costs associated with bringing the second motion to dismiss is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 22nd day of December, 2006.

/s/ James A. Redden  
James A. Redden  
United States District Judge